From: Simon B. Landsberg < simon@tadchievlaw.com >

Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 1:02:23 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

To: efilingcommnets@nycourts.gov efilingcommnets@nycourts.gov Subject: Implementation of Mandatory Electronic Filing in NYC Civil Court

Good afternoon,

I have read the MEMORANDUM from July 22, 2021 concerning the expansion of mandatory filing in NYC Civil Court. I applaud the decision as it will make business so much easier to conduct. Now that it is being expanded into areas of New York State Insurance Law, I respectfully suggest, that Article 75 Actions from Arbitration and/or Master Arbitration cases for no fault benefits, also be added to the list of cases that mandate e-filing.

Simon B. Landsberg Associate Attorney



6909 164th Street, Suite 202 Fresh Meadows, NY 11365 T (718) 380-1200 F (718) 380-1400

This communication, along with any documents, files or attachments, is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain legally privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of any information contained in or attached to this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy the original communication and its attachments without reading, printing, or saving in any manner. This communication does not form any contractual obligation on behalf of the sender, the sender's employer, or the employer's parent company, affiliates or subsidiaries.

Subject:

RE: Mandatory E-filing

From: Brian Gustman < bgustman@gustmanlaw.com >

Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 6:39 PM

To: eFiling Comments < efiling comments@nycourts.gov >

Subject: Mandatory E-filing

I think this is the best course of action for the future of our profession.

Brian Gustman, Esq.
Gustman Law P.C.
11 Broadway, Suite 615
New York, New York 10004
(212) GUSTMAN
(718) 855-5588
(866) 855-5008/Fax
*Licensed to Practice in New York and New Jersey

www.gustmanlaw.com

Subject:

RE: NYC Civil Court NYSCEF suggestion--No paper copies allowed except under certain circumstances

From: Michael Philippou <mphilippou@RubinFiorella.com>

Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2021 11:54:29 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

To: eFiling Comments < efilingcomments@nycourts.gov>

Subject: NYC Civil Court NYSCEF suggestion--No paper copies allowed except under certain circumstances

Hello,

I am an attorney practicing no-fault insurance in the NYC Civil Courts. I have had some experience with NYSCEF in the past in other practices. My suggestion is to not allow judges or courts to require paper courtesy copies of items submitted via NYSCEF if all parties are involved in the NYSCEF system for the case at issue. One of the potential benefits of NYSCEF is to get away from the large paper burden that both the firms and the courts suffer. Printing, reading, managing, storing and physically transporting paper documents is highly burdensome for all involved, especially for an extremely voluminous practice such as no-fault insurance. I have seen no-fault attorneys arrive in court with hand-trucks piled with paper motions. This is not an exaggeration. In my current office, every wall and surface is dedicated to the storage of paper files for the thousands of cases which my firm manages.

NYSCEF allows us all the opportunity to get away from this undue burden by simply having everything online. In previous practices I have been involved with, I have seen judges require, just as a matter of course, paper courtesy copies of anything submitted to NYSCEF, which renders moot a large benefit of the electronic filing. Such requirements should not be permitted, unless the judge can show some legitimate reason for why they require the paper courtesy copy (technical issues barring the access of NYSCEF, such as faulty computers, or some medical reason, such as medically provable eye sensitivity to computer screens, come to mind as some examples of legitimate reasons). The simple preference for paper copies by a judge/court, combined with a lack of desire or means to print the papers themselves when those same documents are readily available/accessible on NYSCEF, should *not* be considered a legitimate reason for the court to require parties to provide paper courtesy copies to the court. If a judge has a preference for paper copies, it is not fair for the judge to push the printing burden onto the parties involved simply because the act of printing would be burdensome upon the judge. If it is burdensome for the judge, then it is burdensome for the attorneys as well. In that situation, the court should either print the papers themselves or read off the NYSCEF electronic copy, ignoring their paper preference.

Michael Philippou



630 Third Avenue, 3rd Floor New York, New York 10017

Phone: (212) 953-2381 Fax: (212) 953-2462

Email: mphilippou@rubinfiorella.com
Website: www.rubinfiorella.com

Subject:

RE: Question re: E-Filing

From: David Jolley < djolley@jonesjonesllc.com >

Sent: Friday, August 27, 2021 4:54 PM

To: eFiling Comments <efilingcomments@nycourts.gov>

Subject: Question re: E-Filing

Good afternoon,

I'm happy to hear that the NYC Civil Courts are adopting mandatory e-filing for No-Fault cases. I'm just curious how this applies to existing cases, if at all. For example, if a case was previously filed via paper filing is there a procedure to convert it to e-filing and, if so, is it mandatory to do so? Any clarification you can provide would be appreciated. Thank you.

David Jolley Managing Paralegal Tel: 212-776-1808 x 118 Fax: 212-776-1809

Email: djolley@jonesjonesllc.com
Web: www.jonesjonesllc.com



5 Hanover Square, Suite 1001, New York, NY 10004



Now Serving the Entire State of New York and New Jersey!

Have a hearing referral / directives? calendar@jonesjonesllc.com
Want to settle that claim or need an update? Please contact settlements@jonesjonesllc.com
Need denial codes, a Ph-16.2 or a controversy analysis? rocketdocket@jonesjonesllc.com
Would you like your witness prepared for an upcoming trial? SLPP@jonesjonesllc.com
Appeal or Rebuttal assignment? Please contact appeals@jonesjonesllc.com
Need medical records? Please contact subpoenas@jonesjonesllc.com
Need assistance with your deposition? Please contact depositions@jonesjonesllc.com
Loss Transfer arbitration assistance? Please contact losstransfer@jonesjonesllc.com
Looking for a No-Fault Litigation/Arbitration specialist? nofault@jonesjonesllc.com

This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the intended recipients. If you are not an intended recipient you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify us immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. Therefore we do not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message, which arise as a result of e-mail transmission. If verification is required please request a hard-copy version.

Subject:

RE: Comment

From: Anne LaBarbera <annelabarbera@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, August 31, 2021 10:27 AM

To: eFiling Comments < efilingcomments@nycourts.gov >

Subject: Comment

As an attorney who has represented clients in New York City Civil Court, I believe expanding mandatory e filing to include that court is imperative. I have spent hours in line to file something that I could have uploaded. It impacts the public because it is harder to make a living so I only rarely take cases in that court because I know I will spend a lot of time in that court just filing, which I can't charge for. I consider it automatically "lo bono" work to take a case in that court because of the lack of e filing.

I hold the strong opinion that one of the reasons the Northern Leasing plaintiffs used New York City Civil was because the difficulty in filing averted attorneys from use of that court. I indeed limited the number of Northern Leasing defendants I was able to assist because my practice is largely in Supreme Court and paper filing in City Civil was prohibitively difficult.

I can't imagine how much it will improve the lives of attorneys who center their practice in City Civil and consequently improve their capacity to serve the public.

Of course there should be provisions for pro se litigants who are unrepresented but with use of technology expanding, I think you will even find pro se litigants opt in whenever they can. And attorneys who e file with opt out pro se litigants in opposition could simply serve paper copies of everything that has been filed on the pro se. Dropping things is the mail is a much lower burden than waiting for 2 hours to file a paper.

So with opt out and other protection for pro se litigants, I firmly believe that mandatory e filing for New York City Civil court is long overdue.

Anne LaBarbera 917-704-9759

Confidentiality notice: This message is intended only for the person to whom it was addressed and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you are not the addressee, any use of this message is prohibited. I request that you notify me by reply to this message, and then delete all copies of this message including any contained in your reply. You are not authorized to archive, print, copy, disseminate or use this information unless you are an intended recipient.

Subject:

RE: Comments to the electronic filing proposal. Please respond to indicate that you received my comments.

From: Gary Tsirelman <<u>gtsirelman@gtmdjd.com</u>> Sent: Monday, September 13, 2021 11:59 PM

To: eFiling Comments <efilingcomments@nycourts.gov>

Subject: Comments to the electronic filing proposal. Please respond to indicate that you received my comments.

To Chief Administrative Judge,

My name is Gary Tsirelman. I own and operate Gary Tsirelman, PC, which is a firm that specializes in No-Fault Insurance litigation and which regularly appears in Kings, New York, Queens and the Bronx Civil Courts, Supreme Courts, Appellate Courts and Federal Courts and has been for the past 20+ years.

As you are aware, No-Fault litigation is a volume business and my firm files on average 1000 new actions in Kings Civil Court a month. This generates nearly \$40,000 for the court monthly and over a half million dollars for the courts yearly-only in filing Summons and Complaints.

And prior to the shut down my office was filing 250 Notice of Trials weekly in Kings Civil Court alone, generating for the court \$10,000 weekly and about half a million dollars yearly.

My office's ability to file in bulk provides just Kings Civil with roughly 1 million dollars a year.

The newly proposed mandatory e-filing system for the Civil Court, in which I would have to file a Summons and Complaint, upload each one by one into the system piecemeal and enter the information for each case one by one, would bring my office's, and every other No-Fault plaintiff's firm's, business to a virtual standstill or at the very least it would be like wading through tar.

The proposed mandatory e-filing system is not only prejudicial to Plaintiff's No-Fault firms that will bear the brunt of costs in complying with the new system, but it will also generate less money for the Courts in the long run.

To be clear, I am not against electronic filing. I am against the electronic filing system that the civil court is proposing. The system you are proposing is slow, inefficient and will drive us out of business or impose on us an unnecessary burden.

Why is this so? The answer lies in the way you designed this electronic filing system. Doing it your way will force us to enter one case at a time, type everything out (which includes the patient's name, insurers, plaintiffs, defendants, etc), then we will need to upload the document, one at a time, and pay for this complaint and then repeat over 100 times per day. I would need to hire an army of people to do this.

How can your system be improved? The electronic filing system you need to create is a server to server SFTP interface. These systems already exist between providers, insurers, and clearinghouses. When we submit bills to insurers, we don't type each bill out individually. We submit a spreadsheet of data with dozens of documents and the clearinghouse's server picks up our data and files it correctly where it is supposed to go. When we get things back, our server picks up the data and sorts it in each file (a court file in your case). In this way we can file hundreds of cases in seconds. That is the electronic filing system that I hope that the civil court adopts and one that I and others would support.

Please reconsider making e-filing for the NYC Civil Courts mandatory as it is proposed today. Please consider an alternative or nothing at all.

Gary Tsirelman, Esq.				
PS I can be reached at 718 438 1200 x 105	5 to further explain w	hat I mean and the S	FTP system that I	am proposing.
Gary Tsirelman, Esq.				
GARY TSIRELMAN P.C.				
129 Livingston St 2nd Fl				
Brooklyn, NY 11201				
(Tel) 718 438-1200 (ext.105)				
(Fax) 718 438-8883				

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS MESSAGE IS CONFIDENTIAL AND MAY ALSO BE SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE OR MAY CONSTITUTE PRIVILEGED WORK PRODUCT. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this message or discussion of its content is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify Mr. Tsirelman and delete any copy from your computer, whether stored in your hard drive, cache memory or any other form or support. Thank you.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

From:

nyscef

Subject:

RE: and here they come....

From: Michael Poropat mporopat@gabrielmoroff.com>

Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 12:56 PM

To: nyscef < nyscef@nycourts.gov >

Cc: 'Alina Gavrilov' agavrilov@gabriellegal.com; Jason Moroff imoroff@gabriellegal.com>

Subject: Mandatory eFiling Questions

Importance: High

Good afternoon,

I'm reaching out regarding the attached Memorandum issued by Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence Marks regarding mandatory e-filing expanding to include cases brought by a health services provider pursuant to Insurance Law Sec 5108(b). While we widely support the court's decision to create a more accessible and environmentally friendly e-filing system, we have several questions regarding the implementation of said system starting September 29, 2021.

- 1. Will the e-file be mandatory for the initiation of these claims? Specifically, will we have to use the NYSCEF system to e-file our summons and complaints for each case?
- 2. Assuming the answer to the first question is "yes", will there be a mass-filing option for these cases such that we can file hundreds or thousands of cases at a time? This area of law is significantly more voluminous than most and our firm consistently files thousands of cases each week. It would take a tremendous amount of time and effort and could be burdensome if we have to file these cases through the current NYSCEF system which allows us only to file one case at a time by individually entering the data for each case.
 - 2a. We viewed a training course on NYSCEF with these cases when this initial idea was proposed and they indicated that each bill would have to be entered individually when filing a summons and complaint. Is that still the case? If so, this poses an even greater concern with the amount of time and effort it would take to not only have to input each individual cases data but also each bill for each individual case.
- 3. Our firm also purchases index numbers from several of the venues to use on future cases that we are filing. We still have a large number of these pre-purchased index numbers to use going forward. How will we utilize these already purchased index numbers when filing a case in the expanded e-filing system? Will the index numbers already be in the system such that we can file our documents to an existing case? Or will we have to continue filing these cases in person until the pre-purchased index numbers are utilized?
- 4. Will the option and ability to e-file these cases be introduced before the system becomes mandatory? As a firm that files as many cases as we do, we are fearful that without the ability to test out and try the e-filing system for our cases it will be impossible to accurately implement a protocol for our employees to follow when e-file becomes mandatory. As it stands right now, we cannot file our cases through NYSCEF and therefore cannot prepare a proper protocol to accommodate the mandatory e-filing change.
- 5. The NYC courts currently use the Electronic Document Delivery System (EDDS) for many of its filed documents, including papers related to motions. Will we still have to use the EDDS system moving forward or will all documents now be filed through NYSCEF?

Thank you for taking the time out to consider and answer our questions and concerns. Again, we are in full support of the court's movement towards a more environmentally friendly process and hope that we can help the court achieve a successful launch of this expansion.

Regards, Michael

MICHAEL J. POROPAT, ESQ. GABRIEL & MOROFF, PC

2 Lincoln Ave, Suite 400 Rockville Centre, New York 11570 (516) 338-7040 x156

This email address is not being used for service of documents and as such this response email does not constitute good service. Please direct all motion papers to the only email address accepting electronic service for Gabriel Shapiro, PC and Gabriel Moroff PC: Motions GM@GabrielMoroff.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE:

The information contained in this e-mail message and any attachment is confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named in this message. This information may be protected by attorney/client privilege, work product privilege or other laws, rules, and regulations providing for the protection of confidential communications. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any retention, use, copying or forwarding of this message is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail message in error, please contact the sender of this message by return e-mail and delete this message and any attachments.